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| 5-qubit code | GF(4) version |
| :---: | :---: |
| $X Z Z X I$ | $I X X X X$ |
| $I X Z Z X$ | $I Z Z Z Z$ |
| $X I X Z Z$ | $X I X Z Y$ |
| $Z X I X Z$ | $Z I Z Y X$ |

Two QECCs are equivalent iff one of them can be converted to the other via:

1. permutations of qubits
2. unitary operations on individual qubits

How does a unitary affect the code?

$$
\begin{gathered}
M|\bar{\psi}\rangle=M|\bar{\psi}\rangle, \forall|\bar{\psi}\rangle \in C \\
U|\bar{\psi}\rangle \in U(C) \Rightarrow\left(U M U^{\dagger}\right) U|\bar{\psi}\rangle=U M|\bar{\psi}\rangle=U|\bar{\psi}\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

So, $U M U^{\dagger}$ is in the new stabilizer if it is in $\mathcal{P}$

Two Lessons

1. $M \longmapsto U M U^{\dagger}$
2. Interested in $U$ 's that transform Pauli operators to Pauli operators

Def: Clifford Group $\mathcal{C}=\left\{U \mid U P U^{\dagger}=\mathcal{P}\right\}$

- $U \cdot U^{\dagger}$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{P}$
- $U(P Q) U^{\dagger}=\left(U P U^{\dagger}\right)\left(U Q U^{\dagger}\right)$
- $I$ is in $\mathcal{C}$ (since it is a group)

Is Hadamard?

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \\
H X H=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right)=Z \\
H Z H=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 2 \\
2 & 0
\end{array}\right)=X \\
H Y H= \pm i H X Z H= \pm i(H X H)(H Z H)= \pm i Z X=-Y
\end{gathered}
$$

Is Pauli Group?

$$
\begin{gathered}
X(X) X^{\dagger}=X \\
X(Z) X^{\dagger}=-Z \\
X(Y) X^{\dagger}=-Y
\end{gathered}
$$

So, for $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}, P Q P^{\dagger}= \pm Q$

+ if $[\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}]=0$
- if $\{\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}\}=0$

Is CNOT?

$$
\begin{array}{r}
X \otimes I \rightarrow X \otimes X \\
Z \otimes I \rightarrow Z \otimes Z \\
I \otimes X \rightarrow I \otimes X \\
I \otimes Z \rightarrow Z \otimes Z
\end{array}
$$

$P=\operatorname{diag}(1, i)$ is also in $\mathcal{C} . \mathcal{C}$ is generated by $H, P, C N O T$.

In addition to stabilizer also interested in $\bar{X}, \bar{Z}$
Eigenstates of $\bar{Z}$ (Similar for $\bar{X})$,

$$
\bar{Z}|\bar{\psi}\rangle= \pm|\bar{\psi}\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(U \bar{Z} U^{\dagger}\right) U|\bar{\psi}\rangle= \pm U|\bar{\psi}\rangle \\
\Rightarrow \bar{Z} \rightarrow U \bar{Z} U^{\dagger}
\end{gathered}
$$



|  | CNOT |  |  |  | $X \otimes I$ |  | $I \otimes H$ | CNOT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $I \otimes Z$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $Z \otimes Z$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $-Z \otimes Z$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $-Z \otimes X$ | $\longrightarrow$ |  |  |
| $\bar{X}$ | $\longrightarrow \otimes Y$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bar{Z}$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $X \otimes X$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $X \otimes X$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $X \otimes Z$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $X \otimes Z$ |  |  |
| $Z \otimes I$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $Z \otimes I$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $-Z \otimes I$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $-Z \otimes I$ | $\longrightarrow$ | $-Z \otimes Z$ |  |  |

$\mathcal{C}$ preserves commutation relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
P Q= \pm Q P \\
U(P Q) U^{\dagger}=\left(U P U^{\dagger}\right)\left(U Q U^{\dagger}\right) \\
U( \pm 1) U^{\dagger}= \pm 1 \\
\left(U P U^{\dagger}\right)\left(U Q U^{\dagger}\right)= \pm\left(U Q U^{\dagger}\right)\left(U P U^{\dagger}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose

$$
\begin{gathered}
X \otimes I=\bar{X}_{1} \longrightarrow Z \otimes Z \\
Z \otimes I=\bar{Z}_{1} \longrightarrow X \otimes I \\
I \otimes X=\bar{X}_{2} \longrightarrow X \otimes X \\
I \otimes Z=\bar{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow I \otimes Z
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $|00\rangle$ must go to the +1 -eigenvector of $\bar{Z}_{1}$ and $\bar{Z}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
|00\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle+|1\rangle)|0\rangle \\
|01\rangle=\bar{X}_{2}|00\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle+|1\rangle)|1\rangle \\
|10\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle-|1\rangle)|0\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
|11\rangle \longrightarrow-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle-|1\rangle)|1\rangle
$$

Any transformation of $\mathcal{P}$ that preserves commutation rules and multiplication that fixes $\pm 1, \pm i$ is a Clifford group gate.
On 2 n -dim binary vectors, linear map that preserves symplectic inner product. Symplectic maps $\cong \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{P}$

